Government of Saint Vincent and the Grenadines

DRAFT Stakeholder Engagement Plan

Saint Vincent and the Grenadines: Coastal and Marine Eco-Systems Management Strengthening Project (P172980)

9 November 2021

Acronyms

API Agency for Public Information
CBO Community Based Organization
CWSA Central Water and Sewage Authority

EbA Ecosystem based Adaptation

ESF Environmental and Social Framework

ESMF Environment and Social Management Framework

ESS Environment and Social Standard

GBV Gender Based Violence
GEF Global Environment Facility
GRM Grievance Redress mechanism
GRS Grievance Redress Service
IPF Investment project Financing

ITSD Information and Telecommunication Service Division

KAP Knowledge Attitude and Practice LMP Labour Management Procedures NGO Non-Government Organization

NOCC National Ocean Coordinating Committee

OIP: Other Interested Parties
PAP: Project Affected Parties
PAI: Project Area of Influence

PSIPMU Public Sector Investment Programme Management Unit

RAP Resettlement Action Plan
SDU Sustainable Development Unit
SEP Stakeholder Engagement Plan

SUSGREN Sustainable Grenadines

SVG Saint Vincent and the Grenadines

SVGCC St. Vincent and the Grenadines Community College UNCBD United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity

UNFCCC United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

USD United States Dollar

VINLEC Saint Vincent Electricity Services Limited

WB World Bank

XCD Eastern Caribbean Dollar

Contents

| Acronyms | 2 |
|---|---------|
| 1. Introduction | |
| 2. Objectives of the Stakeholder Engagement Plan | 6 |
| 3. World Bank Requirements for Stakeholder Engagement- ESS10 Stakehold Disclosure | |
| 4. Project Description | 6 |
| 4.1. Project Components | 6 |
| 5. Previous Stakeholder Engagement Activities | <u></u> |
| 6. Stakeholder Identification and Analysis | 10 |
| 6.1. Methodology for identification of stakeholders | 10 |
| 6.1. Categories of Stakeholders | 10 |
| 7. Stakeholder Engagement Programme | 20 |
| 7.1. Description of Engagement Methods | |
| 7.2. Methods and Tools for Engagement | 22 |
| 7.3. Proposed Strategy for Information Disclosure | 24 |
| 7.4. Stakeholder Engagement Plan | 24 |
| 7.4. Proposed Strategy to Incorporate the Views of Vulnerable Groups | 25 |
| 7.5. Documenting Stakeholder feedback | 25 |
| 8. Roles and responsibilities | 25 |
| 9. Estimated budget for SEP | 27 |
| 10. Monitoring and Reporting | 27 |
| 11. Grievance Mechanism | 27 |
| 11.1. Objectives of the Grievance Mechanism | 28 |
| 11.2 Responsibility for the GM | 28 |
| 11.3 Establishment of a Grievance Redress Committee | 28 |
| 11.4 Grievance process | 28 |
| 11.4. World Bank Grievance Redressal Service (GRS) | 30 |
| 11.4. Addressing SH/SEA complaints | 30 |
| 11.5. Building GRM Awareness | 31 |
| 11.6. Monitoring and Reporting | 31 |
| Annex 1 - Grievance Acknowledgment Form | 32 |
| Annex 2 Grievance Register | 33 |
| Annex 3 - Stakeholder Consultation – 22 July, 2021 | 34 |
| Annex 4 – Preliminary list of GBV/SEA/SH services | 42 |
| Annex 5- Grievance Closure Form | 43 |



1. Introduction

The Government of Saint Vincent and the Grenadines (SVG) has requested funding to implement the Coastal and Marine Eco- Systems Management Strengthening Project. The aim of the project is to strengthen the management of coastal and marine eco-systems of SVG, a multi-island nation consisting of 32 islands and cays, the total land area is 389 square kilometers and a coastline of 84km. The marine space under the jurisdiction of SVG is estimated to be 36,000 square km, over 90 times its land space.

This Stakeholder Engagement Plan (SEP) describes the timing and methods of engagement with stakeholders throughout the lifecycle of the project. It includes: (i) project description; (ii) stakeholder identification and analysis; (iii) action plan of stakeholder engagement; (iv) disclosure of information; (v) consultations with stakeholders; (vi) grievance redress; and (vi) reporting to stakeholders. The plan aims to ensure that there is adequate communication with all project stakeholders.

The scope of the SEP seeks to be proportionate to the nature and scale of the project and its potential risks and impacts. Implementation of this plan is the responsibility of the Sustainable Development Unit (SDU) within the Ministry of Tourism, Civil Aviation, Sustainable Development and Culture. The SEP is a living document and will be updated as necessary, throughout the project's life cycle.

2. Objectives of the Stakeholder Engagement Plan

- To identify key stakeholders and build and maintain a constructive relationship with them, in particular with project affected parties (PAPs);
- To assess the level of stakeholder interest and support for the project and to enable stakeholders' views to be considered in project design and environmental and social (E&S) performance.
- To promote and provide means for effective and inclusive engagement with project-affected parties throughout the project life cycle on issues that could potentially affect them.
- To ensure that appropriate project information on E&S risks and impacts is disclosed to stakeholders in a timely, understandable, accessible, and appropriate manner and format.
- To provide a project level grievance redress mechanism for stakeholders to raise issues and grievances and for the project to respond and manage those.

3. World Bank Requirements for Stakeholder Engagement- ESS10 Stakeholder Engagement and Information Disclosure

Stakeholder engagement is critical at all stages of bank funded projects. In the World Bank's Environmental and Social Framework (ESF), "Stakeholder Engagement and Information Disclosure", is the tenth standard (ESS10) which recognizes "the importance of open and transparent engagement between the Borrower and project stakeholders as an essential element of good international practice". ESS 10 emphasizes that effective stakeholder engagement can significantly improve the E&S sustainability of projects, enhance project acceptance, and make a significant contribution to successful project design and implementation. Stakeholder engagement is an inclusive process conducted throughout the project life cycle. When properly designed and implemented, it supports the development of strong, constructive, and responsive relationships that are important for successful management of a project's environmental and social risks.

4. Project Description

The project is funded by a GEF Trust Fund grant of USD \$3.65 million, and will be implemented over a 5-year period. It aims to apply a multi-pronged approach designed to support the ecosystem-based adaptation in coastal and marine environment of SVG.

4.1. Project Components

The project comprises four components as follows:

Component 1. Institutionalizing Coastal and Marine Ecosystem Management (US\$0.66 million).

The objective of this component is to support the operationalization of the NOPSAP, including the strengthening of the NOCC, primarily by further developing the institutional capacity for mainstreaming coastal and marine policies and management for the key sectors that rely on and impact these resources. Specifically, this component will engage a consultancy1 to analyze and improve the institutional and legal framework for coastal and marine management. The consultancy will support the NOCC and the operationalization of aspects of the NOPSAP and will include outputs related to: (i) assessing the roles and responsibilities, laws, mandates, permits, enforcement, and capacity of key institutions in the coastal and marine sector to ensure complementarity, reduce duplication, and align efforts with the NOPSAP; (ii) development of the NOCC Operations Guidance Manual; (iii) supporting the revision or update of necessary policies, strategies and regulations, including mapping of coastal and marine management zones; (iv) improving capacity for surveillance, monitoring, and enforcement; and (v) analysis of the current and potential market-based instruments for sustainable coastal and marine use and development of a longterm investment and revenue-generation strategy to finance coastal and marine management from the private sector and through public-private-community partnerships.2 In addition, this component will include recruitment of a local Policy and Institutional Development Specialist, who will support the SDU in implementation of the above consultancy outputs and capacity building of the different stakeholders. This component will include support to the NOCC through regular meetings and strengthening the technical capacity for coastal and marine management3 for relevant ministries and stakeholders.

Component 2. Piloting participatory planning and nature-based solutions in selected sites (US\$2.1 million).

The objective of Component 2 is to demonstrate spatial planning, participatory conservation, and innovative financing arrangements through on-the-ground investments in four target coastal and marine pilot sites. This component will complement Component 1 through a continuous feedback loop by incorporating outcomes of analyses and policies in pilot site activities to ensure these approaches are consistent and subsequently, feeding lessons learned from what is tested in the pilots into policies and NOCC management and coordination of coastal and marine resources. Furthermore, the outcomes of pilot site activities will be reflected in Component 3 through the NEDIP and communications and knowledge management efforts to scale-up adaptive management and good practices. The identified pilot sites (see Annex 3 for more information) include: (i) St. Vincent Southeast Landscape/Seascape: Milligan Cay, Brighton, Diamond and Stubbs beaches; (ii) Grenadines Landscape/Seascape: Union Island and Tobago Cays Marine Park; (iii) Leeward Coast: Richmond Beach, Chateaubelair Bay, Petit Bordel Bay and Troumaca Bay; and (iv) Colonarie Beach. These pilots will be undertaken through: (i) technical assessments to identify the

¹ The consultancy is expected to be completed by an international firm with local presence in SVG or complemented by subcontracting or joint venture with a local firm to ensure: (i) capacity is built and technical knowledge is retained in the country; and (ii) the consultancy is not delayed or significantly impacted due to COVID-19 travel restrictions. The international inputs are expected to be limited on bring global best practices, preparing the methodology of the assignment, reviewing the outputs from different local consultants, and preparing final report. One consultancy firm contract will be used due to previous experience in SVG demonstrating the country's low capacity to manage and process multiple consultancies.

² The market-based instrument will help in achieving environmental objectives in spatial plans and provide incentive to private sectors to reduce or eliminate negative environmental externalities. At the same time, the long-term investment and revenue-generation strategy will help the government to develop a sustainable funding mechanism for conservation activities.

³ All trainings supported by the project will include, among other topics, a focus on gender inclusion.

current knowledge, capacity, and implementation gaps and good practices for integrated coastal and marine spatial planning given the unique characteristics of the sites; (ii) enhancing capacity building, training and public-private-community partnerships for promotion of new models for participatory management of inshore areas, sustainable fisheries, and nature-based tourism benefit sharing; and (iii) pilot a number of interventions to test approaches to integrated coastal and marine spatial planning with a focus on improving local livelihood opportunities for nature-based tourism and biodiversity conservation. The activities that will be piloted through on-the-ground investments will be finalized through the technical assessments conducted for each site. However, an indicative list of pilot interventions based on government priority, stakeholder interest and demonstration conservation potential has been identified in Annex 3. The assessment and planning of the integrated activities at the pilot site level would be undertaken by qualified regional or local Non-Governmental Organizations (NGO) or firms (that have demonstrated expertise and track record) through a contractual agreement at the early stage of project implementation. The implementation arrangement of the piloting will be finalized based on the assessment at the early stage of the project implementation. The piloting activities will promote approaches to strengthen the role and participation of women in the relevant coastal and marine productivity sectors.

Component 3: Managing knowledge and data management, and mainstreaming gender (US\$0.72 million)

The objective of this component is to improve the quality and availability of coastal and marine data to inform policy and decision-making processes in SVG. This will be primarily accomplished through the development of the NEDIP, which will be a publicly available resource that consolidates existing data from relevant Government entities and eventually could include data from NGOs, private sector, and communities. The NEDIP will initially focus on existing coastal and marine related data, though the GoSVG has stated its ambition to evolve the NEDIP to act as a hub for all environmental information also including an analytical, reporting, management, and facilitation roles to support the government in its own programs and initiatives as well as its engagements regionally and internationally. This component will specifically support the analysis of existing data to be hosted in the NEDIP, the establishment of the system, and protocols for its management and functions. Gender data gaps will be identified and addressed through this component to enhance the understanding and improvement of gender inclusion in coastal and marine management and benefits. This component will also support the GoSVG in improving its capacity and outreach for communicating and managing knowledge on coastal and marine issues. The GoSVG has identified communications and knowledge management as a key gap that hinders the broad up-take of sustainable coastal and marine management. Therefore, this component will specifically support a Communications and Knowledge Management Specialist, the development of a Communications and Knowledge Management Plan for Coastal and Marine Management, dissemination of relevant information and lessons learned, engagement of stakeholders through publications and events, and targeted messaging guided by the outcomes of a Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices (KAP) survey. The project has also developed a gender analysis and gender action plan that includes measures to engage women and men effectively and equitably in coastal and marine management. This component will support capacity building for project stakeholders, including government, NGOs, private sector, and communities to understand and address gender dimensions in the sector as well as the implementation of the gender action plan.

The objective of the component is to ensure effective project implementation through wider sectoral coordination and supporting the project fiduciary, environmental, and social management systems. This component will support a full-time Administrative Officer, and part of operational and incremental staff costs for project coordination and management. Since a variety of government agencies and other stakeholders are involved in coastal and marine ecosystem management, the project will support regular coordination and communication between stakeholders. The project management will cover the cost of financial management and procurement, environmental and social risk management compliance including establishment of an appropriate grievance redress mechanism, production of progress reports, operational travel, and other operating costs necessary for project implementation and reporting. The government will assign staff for the project coordination and management as additional responsibilities and salaries of these staff will be paid from the regular government budget. The government will also provide the office space, cost of utility and other relevant administrative cost.

5. Previous Stakeholder Engagement Activities

During project identification and preparation, several stakeholder engagement activities were undertaken, including a workshop with key government and non-governmental stakeholders during the first project preparation mission held in September 2020. The table that follows provides a list of action and/or activities undertaken; all activities were led by the Sustainable Development Unit.

Table 1: Previous Stakeholder Engagement Activities

| Date | Stakeholders | Type of Consultation | Main points discussed |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| May 8 2019 | Director of Planning World Bank Team SDU Team | Meeting | To discuss scope of the project, timeline for the project development, budget, sources of co-financing, complementary projects, etc. |
| May 9 2019 | Fisheries Division CROP MSP project National Parks Authority SVG Hotel and Tourism Association Ministry of Tourism Environmental Health Division Ministry of Transport and Works Agriculture Division, Ministry of Agriculture; Maritime Affairs Department; SVG Port Authority; Physical Planning Unit; Central Water and Sewerage Authority | Meeting | To introduce the project to possible stakeholders and get preliminary feedback on the objectives, scope and expected outcomes. To identify potential sources of cofinancing |
| May 10 2019 | Hon. Minister of Finance Director General of Finance and Planning | Meeting | To discuss funding resources, potential linkages between the proposed project and other on-going WB operations, and how to maximize the development impacts. |
| 21-25 Sept 2020 | Economic Planning Division; Sustainable Development Unit; Ministry of Finance (Budget Director and Debt Manager); Ministry of Agriculture; Forestry Services Division; Fisheries Division; Veterinary | Workshop (as part of 1 st project preparation mission) | Discussion on the project's scope, aims, objectives and impacts. |

| | Division; Physical Planning Unit; National | | |
|---------|---|---------|---------------------------------------|
| | Parks, Rivers and Beaches Authority; Gender | | |
| | Affairs Division; Solid Waste Management | | |
| | Unit; SVG Conservation Fund; Caribbean | | |
| | | | |
| | Youth Environment Network; UNDP Small | | |
| | Grants Programme; Sustainable Grenadines | | |
| | Inc.; Richmond Vale Academy; Invest SVG | | |
| 12 Oct; | SDU: Fisheries Division; ITSD | Meeting | Project preparation |
| 22 Oct; | Economic Planning Division (Social Safeguard) | | Discussion on potential project |
| 3 Nov; | Community Development Division | | activities and pilot site selection |
| 17 Dec, | Gender Affairs Division; World Bank Local | | |
| 2020 | Consultants | | |
| 22 Jul | Community Consultations- Pilot Sites | Virtual | Objectives of project |
| 2021 | | Meeting | Project benefits |
| | | | Site selection and proposed |
| | | | interventions |
| | | | Overview of list of draft instruments |
| | | | prepared |
| | | | Draft SEP |
| | | | Key stakeholders |
| | | | (Full consultation report included as |
| | | | Annex 4) |

6. Stakeholder Identification and Analysis

In SVG, development sectors portfolios and responsibilities were analyzed, including different line ministries, academic institutions, and NGOs. Stakeholder identification was initiated during the scoping meetings and further developed during the technical stakeholder workshop.

6.1. Methodology for identification of stakeholders

The identification of stakeholders took place through:

- 1. A collaborative approach amongst the relevant sectors, government agencies and users in the project area was applied to identify key stakeholders through discussion with relevant Government Ministries/agencies or departments, NGOs and CBOs as well as use of secondary data.
- 2. Assessment of the level of impact the Project may have on each stakeholder group, their level of interest, influence and importance, to identify the level of engagement required for each group.

6.1. Categories of Stakeholders

The stakeholders were grouped in three categories: Project Affected Parties, other interested parties and Vulnerable groups

Project Affected Parties (PAPS):

Affected parties may include, individuals, groups, communities, community members and others that may be subject to direct impacts from the Project's activities.

Other Interested Parties (OIP):

Individuals /groups/entities/that may not experience direct impacts from the project but who could potentially influence the project and its outcomes.

Vulnerable Persons / Groups:

Persons who are disadvantaged may be adversely impacted or further disadvantaged by the project's activity as compared with any other groups due to their socio-economic status, location, physical attributes etc. These could possibly include female fisher folk, female vendors, youth, persons with disability, farmers, etc. The vulnerable groups within the Project Area of Influence (PAI) will be further confirmed and consulted throughout the project life cycle.

A general list of stakeholders is identified in Table 2.

Table 2: Stakeholder identification

| Key SH | Stakeholder (SH) | Description | Interest | Expectations from the SH | Importance of the SH HIGH, medium , low | Influence on the Project Outcome High; medium; low |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| | Ministry of Tour | ism, Civil Aviation, Su | ustainable Development a | nd Culture | | |
| v | Sustainable Development Unit | To safeguard the environment | NOCC Secretariat focal point for multilateral environmental agreement (e.g. | Policy advocacy Awareness campaigns Coordinate actions with relevant ministries | High | High |
| Project affected Parties (PAP) Primary | National Parks, Rivers and Beaches Authority | To promote ecotourism and promote the conservation and preservation of natural resources | UNCBD and UNFCCC) Protected Areas Ecotourism Biodiversity conservation Beaches | Training Coastal Environmental Quality Monitoring Shoreline protection Promotion biodiversity friendly tourism | High | High |
| arties | Department of Culture | Preserve and conserve cultural heritage | Historical and cultural resources | Cultural heritage advocacy | Medium | Low |
| s (PAP) Prima | SVG Tourism Authority | To formulate policies and strategies, build awareness and promote the tourism sector | Ecotourism promotion | Marketing of SVG and standards | high | Medium |
| ~ | Ministry of Finance | Economic Planning and | d Information Technology | | | |
| | PSIPMU | Monitor and evaluate all government projects | Mobilize resources for socio-economic development, interface | Fiduciary M&E Social Safeguards | High | High |

| Key SH | Stakeholder (SH) | Description | Interest | Expectations from the SH | Importance of the SH HIGH, medium , low | Influence on the Project Outcome High; medium; low |
|--------|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| | | | with development partners | Approval of annual work plans and budgets | | |
| | ITSD | Governs the telecommunications sector | Government web and IT infrastructure maintenance | Public DisclosureNEDIP platform | High | High |
| | Statistical Office | National authority for statistical data collection, analysis and storage | Environmental data and statistics | Data management | Medium | Medium |
| | Ministry of Finance | Budgeting and fiscal and economic policy-making | Fees and taxes Public Financial Management | Review and approval of sustainable finance mechanisms that require government input (policies, incentives and disincentives) Approval of annual project work plans and budget | High | High |
| | Office of the Prime | e Minister, Foreign Affa | airs, National Security, Leg | al Affairs and Information | | |
| | Legal Affairs | Provide legal advice for the government | Legal drafting | Legislative framework Training | High | High |
| | Agency for Public Information (API) | Communication of activities to the public | Mass communication | Communication strategy prepare bulletins to be disseminated via radio, television, print and social media | High | Medium |

| Key SH | Stakeholder (SH) | Description | Interest | Expectations from the SH | Importance of the SH HIGH, medium , low | Influence on the Project Outcome High; medium; low |
|--------|----------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| | Coast Guard | Secures the ocean and seas | Enforcement of maritime security, safety at sea | Coastline defenses Shoreline protection Training in safety at sea Enforcement of laws/regulations | High | High |
| | Maritime Administration | Maritime governance | Regulation of maritime activities | Registration /licensing of water taxies/boating | Medium | Low |
| | Police force | Citizen and physical security | Law enforcement | Enforcement of environmental regulations | Medium | Low |
| | Ministry of Agricu | lture , Forestry, Fisheri | es, Rural Transformation, | Industry and labour | High | High |
| | Fisheries Division | To develop and manage the fisheries sector and protect the marine environment | Reefs, seagrass, mangroves Sea turtles Seabirds/shorebird areas | Conduct research on adaptive aquaculture. Marine and coastal monitoring Fish stock assessments | High | High |
| | Forestry Division | To coordinate and supervise the management of the national forest estate, wild life, watershed and other resources and to ensure sustainability of the forest resources, ensuring the | Ecosystem services | Ecosystem restoration; revegetation | High | High |

| ey SH | Stakeholder (SH) | Description | Interest | Expectations from the SH | Importance of the SH HIGH, medium, low | Influence on the Project Outcome High; medium; low |
|-------|------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| | | sustainability of livelihood | | | | |
| | Labour Department | The administration of industrial relation laws and the promotion of efficient employment services | Employment/ Livelihoods Employee rights Occupational Health and Safety | Occupational health and safety Employee relations | Medium | Low |
| | Ministry of Transpor | t, Works, Lands and Ph | veical Dianning | | High | High |
| | Lands and Surveys Department | To provide service on land survey, cadaster, mapping, land and geographical information, land management | Coastal Land Management | Protected Area Boundary Surveys | Medium | High |
| | Physical Planning Unit | To facilitate sustainable national development through effective land use planning and regulations | Local Area Plans; Development Control; Geographic Information Systems Management | GIS mapping Geospatial data management for NEDIP | High | High |
| | Ministry of National Government | Mobilisation, Social Do | evelopment, Family, Geno | der Affairs and Local | | |
| | Social protection Unit | Work with the vulnerable sector of the society | Vulnerable groups | Implement programmes for marginalized and vulnerable Training | Medium | Medium |

| Key | SH | Stakeholder (SH) | Description | Interest | Expectations from the SH | Importance of the SH HIGH, medium , low | Influence on the Project Outcome High; medium; low |
|---------|------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | Community Division | Community | Consultation Community meetings | Consultation Community governance Livelihood options | Medium | Medium |
| | | Gender Affairs | To engage in the social transformation of people through empowerment | GBV matters | Gender impact | Medium | Medium |
| | | Urban Development | , Energy, Airports, Sea | ports, Grenadines Affairs | and Local Government | | |
| | | Directorate of Grenadines Affairs | Grenadines governance | Investments, livelihoods and local development in the Grenadines | Input into consultations during Southern Grenadines pilot sites | Medium | Medium |
| | | Local Government Unit | Local area development | Rural livelihoods development | Community governance | Medium | Medium |
| | | Ministry of Education | n and Reconciliation | | | | |
| | | Adult Education Unit | To educate the population | Sensitization | Training | Medium | Medium |
| Parties | Project | St. Vincent and the Grenadines Community College(SVGCC) | Tertiary training and formation | Previous studies and research | Research and knowledge Citizen science (student involvement in monitoring | Medium | Medium |
| | | Ministry of Health, V | Vellness and the Enviro | onment | | | |
| PAP) | Project affected | Environmental Health Division | Pollution control | Environmental quality | Sanitation and Waste management and advisory service Environmental monitoring | Medium | Medium |

| Key SH | Stakeholder (SH) | Description | Interest | Expectations from the SH | Importance of the SH HIGH, medium , low | Influence on the Project Outcome High; medium; low |
|--------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | NGOs & CBO | | | | | |
| | Sustainable Grenadines Inc | Union Island-based Environmental NGO serving the Grenadines | Community /interest group involvement | Represents the interest of different interested parties | Medium | Medium |
| | South East Community Development Inc | Community Organisation operating in and around Diamond, Brighton and Stubbs | Community participation | Potential implementation partner | Medium | Medium |
| | Union Island Environment Attackers | Union Island-based environmental NGO. Currently implementing conservation projects in Chatam Bay | Community participation | Potential implementation partner | Medium | Medium |
| | North Leeward Community | Informal Community groups operating in North Leeward | Community participation | Represents the interest of different interested parties | Medium | Medium |
| | Colonaire Turtle Conservation Group | Newly established community group involved in turtle conservation at Colonaries | Community participation | Potential implementation partner | Medium | Medium |
| | National Fisherfolk Organization | Represent the interests of fisherfolk. Umbrella organization for all | Coastal and marine fisheries | Potential implementation partner for pilot interventions | Medium | Medium |

| Key SH | Stakeholder (SH) | Description | Interest | Expectations from the SH | Importance of the SH HIGH, medium, low | Influence on the Project Outcome High; medium; low |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|----------------------------------|---|---|
| | | other fisherfolk organisations | | | | |
| | Richmond Vale Academy | Established and competent non-profit environmental organization based in Richmond, North Leeward | Environmental advocacy, education and sustainability interventions | Potential implementation partner | Medium | Medium |
| | Pilot Site Communities: Richmond, Union Island, Colonaire, Tobago Cays, Southern Grenadines, Rose Hall, Other communities in Saint Vincent South-east and North Leeward. | Communities that will be impacted by project activities. | Direct benefits of project, including increased conservation, employment opportunities etc. | Project Implementation partners | High | High |
| Parties (OIP) Other Interested | SVG Hotel and Tourism Association | Ensure efficiency and strengthening of the institutional and regulatory framework for tourism development | Beach aesthetics and protection Ecotourism development Environmental quality | Potential for partnerships | Medium | Medium |
| IP) sted | Central Water and Sewerage Authority, Solid | Responsible for sewerage and solid waste management | Pollution prevention, Solid Waste Management | Potential for partnership | Medium | Low |

| Key SH | Stakeholder (SH) | Description | Interest | Expectations from the SH | Importance of the SH HIGH, medium , low | Influence on the Project Outcome High; medium; low |
|--------|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| | Waste Management Unit | | Enviromental education | | | |
| | SVG National Trust | The preservation of the cultural, natural and architectural heritage | Cultural heritage identification | Cultural heritage protection | Medium | Medium |
| | National Emergency Management Organisation | Coordinate activities related to pre and post disaster management | Disaster risk reduction | Development of site disaster management plans | Medium | Low |
| | Mustique Company Ltd | Environmental management in Mustique | Potential for co- finance and learning exchanges | Knowledge exchange (ecosystem restoration) | Medium | Low |
| | Chamber of Industry and Commerce | Business development | Business development opportunities | Potential for partnerships | Medium | Low |
| | Media | Mass Communication | Public Awareness Raising | Public Awareness | High | High |
| | The University of the West Indies - Open Campus | Tertiary training and formation | Previous studies and research | Research and knowledge Citizen science (student involvement in monitoring | Medium | Medium |

7. Stakeholder Engagement Programme

7.1. Description of Engagement Methods

Various methods of engagement will be employed as part of the project's interaction with the stakeholders, to ensure that different stakeholder groups are successfully reached and involved in the process of consultation, decision-making and development of impact management solutions. Stakeholder consultation will be undertaken throughout the life cycle of the Project, they will be accessible to all and will be accompanied by the timely provision of relevant and understandable information.

Information that is communicated in advance of public consultations primarily includes an announcement thereof in the public media –national, as well as the distribution of invitations and full details of the forthcoming meeting in advance. The information will be widely available, readily accessible, clearly outlined, to ensure that it reaches all areas and segments of the target community.

It must be noted that any consultation activities will follow proper COVDI-19 protocols as detailed in the Bank's technical guidance "Public Consultations and Stakeholder Engagement in WB-supported operations when there are constraints on conducting public meetings, (March 20, 2020)" along with national guidelines.

a) Advance public notification of an upcoming consultation

- via publicly accessible locations and channels. The primary means of notification may include mass media and the dissemination of flyers/posters in public places.
- The project keeps proof of the publication (e.g. a copy of the newspaper announcement) for the accountability and reporting purposes.
- Targeted invitations to identified stakeholders can be an option once stakeholder contact information (telephone or email) is available.
- Record meeting (minutes and/or audio recording) and photographing (with authorization of stakeholders).

b) Methodology of communication

- Consideration for literacy levels, persons with disabilities and any other aspects, particularly as they relate to vulnerable groups.
- Oral communication most effective via radio or television and making direct calls (in case fixed-line or mobile phone communication is available).
- Selected day and time for project updates (e.g, every third Thursday in the month).
- Select a communication liaison officer who provides all relevant details, including date, time, location/venue and contact persons.

c) -Grievance / project concerns /suggestions/comments

- Provide a box at project sign board to receive grievances. This can be used by any member of the affected community and general public to provide their written feedback.
- Provide a register to note grievance and suggestions transferred to an excel file.
- Where necessary, a project representative or an appointed consultant should be made available to receive and record any verbal feedback in case some stakeholders experience a

difficulty with providing comments in the written form.

• Grievances can also be received via telephone and email (see section on Grievance redress).

d) Beneficiary feedback

At the end of the public meeting, provide evaluation forms to be completed by participants to capture feedback from persons who may have refrained from expressing their views or concerns in public. Questions provided in the evaluation form may cover the following aspects:

- Participant's name and affiliation (these items are not mandatory if the participant prefers to keep the form confidential)
- How did they learn about the Project and the consultation meeting?
- Are they generally in favour of the Project?
- What are their main concerns or expectations/hopes associated with the Project or the activity discussed at the meeting?
- Do they think the Project will bring some advantages to their community as a whole?
- Is there anything in the Project and its design solutions that they would like to change or improve?
- Do they think that the consultation meeting has been useful in understanding the specific activities of the Project, as well as associated impacts and mitigation measures?
- What aspects of the meeting they particularly appreciated or would recommend for improvement?

e) Covid-19 consideration

- Make a short video (that can be transmitted by Whatsapp) to present the objectives of the project and the main risks and benefits
- Share the link of the website where the documents are available.
- Conduct feedback collections directly over the phone especially for people without internet access
- Prepare the summary of the comments received and actions taken to address the comments

7.2. Methods and Tools for Engagement

Table 3: Methods and Tools of Engagement

| Instrument | Description and Use | Content | Dissemination Method | Target groups |
|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|
| Means of Dissemi | nating Information | | | |
| Flyers Social Media Email Radio Text blasts Posters | Used to convey information on the Project and regular updates on its progress to stakeholders. | Printed materials present illustrative and written information on Project activities, facilities, technologies and design solutions, as well as impact mitigation measures. Presented contents are concise and adapted to a layperson reader. Graphics and pictorials. | Distribution as part of consultation meetings, public hearings, discussions and meetings with stakeholders, as well as household visits in remote areas. Placement at the offices of local administrations and NGOs, libraries and other public venues. | All stakeholders |
| Training workshops | Capacity building workshops in various livelihoods or environmental protection activities | PowerPoint presentations, participatory exercises | Internet and newspaper advertisements Public Service Announcements Email invitations Word-of-mouth invitations through community partners | PAPS, Community stakeholders |
| Means of Receiving | ng Feedback | | | |
| Phone # Email Suggestion box Website Surveys interviews /questionnaires Types of Commun | Avail stakeholders of various channels to request information, make enquiries, or provide feedback on the Project | Project-related issues including ESMF documentation, and environmental and social action plans. | Telephone numbers are specified on the printed materials distributed to Project stakeholders and are mentioned during public meetings. Project's designated staff should be assigned to answer and respond to the calls, and to direct callers to specialist experts or to offer a call-back if a question requires detailed consideration. | All stakeholders |

| Instrument | Description and Use | Content | Dissemination Method | Target groups |
|---|--|--|---|---------------|
| Public consultation (in person /virtual) Round table discussion | Project technical staff, the affected public, authorities, regulatory bodies and other stakeholders for detailed discussion on a specific activity of the Project. | Topics include: Overview of project activities (Project scope, objectives, rationale, etc.); Grievance redress mechanism; and E&S principles, etc. Presentations and interactive Questions & Answers session with the audience. | Disclosure of Project Documents prior to the meeting. Written and email invitations Word-of-mouth invitations though community partners | PAPs |
| Extra due Diligen | ce Communication with Vulnera | ble Population | | |
| Household visit | Provide information to | Detailed information on the | Verbal communication | Vulnerable |
| | vulnerable households | activity in a way that it is | Information in braille | population |
| | | understandable by stakeholders | Brochures to household | |

7.3. Proposed Strategy for Information Disclosure

The disclosure of the draft and final E&S instruments of the project (Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), Labor Management Procedures (LMP), Stakeholder Engagement Plan (SEP), Environmental and Social Commitment Plan (ESCP) and Process Framework (PF) as well as other site specific E&S instruments to be developed during implementation) will follow the standard practice of all World Bank Project materials released for disclosure. The Project will take note of comments and suggestions from the public, and will incorporate them, as possible, in the relevant revised project documentation. The stakeholder feedback will also be documented by the project implementation unit in a formal manner and reflected in project documentation.

Electronic copies of the draft and final versions of the ESMF, LMP, SEP, ESCP and PF, as well as any subsequent ES risk management instruments, will be placed on the Government SVG website: www.gov.vc. Printed copies of the documents will be available at the SDU. This will allow stakeholders to view information about the planned development and to initiate their involvement in the public consultation process. The website will be equipped with an on-line feedback feature that will enable readers to leave their comments in relation to the disclosed materials.

For pilots, general information to be provided to stakeholder include: (a) purpose, nature, and scale of the project; (b) duration of proposed project activities; (c) potential risks and impacts of the project on local communities, and the proposals for mitigating these, highlighting potential risks and impacts that might disproportionately affect vulnerable and disadvantaged groups, and describing the differentiated measures taken to avoid and minimize these; (d) proposed stakeholder engagement process highlighting the ways in which stakeholders can participate; (e) time and venue of any proposed public consultation meetings, and the process by which meetings will be notified, summarized, and reported; and (f) process and means by which grievances can be raised and will be addressed.

7.4. Stakeholder Engagement Plan

The mechanisms which will be used for facilitating input from stakeholders will include press releases and announcements in the media, notifications of the aforementioned disclosed materials to local, regional and national NGOs as well as other interested parties.

The SEP is a living document and will be updated as required during the project life cycle, with for example, further details around stakeholder engagement activities and will seek to ensure that engagement methods and tools are effective, especially in encouraging informed participation from the vulnerable and disadvantage groups.

The table that follows displays the information disclosure strategy.

7.4. Proposed Strategy to Incorporate the Views of Vulnerable Groups

While some vulnerable groups have been proposed in the SEP, any additional groups will be identified throughout the consultation process. The project will apply some measures to remove obstacles to their participation, identified in Table 4 below.

Table 4: Consideration for full participation

| Factors | Consideration | Mitigation measures |
|--------------------|--|---|
| Language | If the person is hearing/vision impaired | Translate information using sign language, or braille or pictorial depending on the disability |
| Time | Socio-economic status might determine availability of time | Advance notice for community meeting 2 weeks minimum |
| Caregiving service | Persons not available because they need a caregiver for children or elderly parents and the persons contribution is essential to the meeting | (a) Provide caregiving service for the hours required or,(b) Arrange to have a televised recording of the persons contribution |
| Transportation | Person may need transportation before and after the meeting | (a) Monetary allocation to reimburse participants or (b) Provide transportation from different districts |
| Meal | Participants may need food and beverage if consultation takes more than 3 hours. | (a) Light refreshment included water or,(b) Monetary allocation to provide light refreshment or meals for participants |
| Location | Participants may be unable to reach the location of the consultations. | Offer the possibility of participating virtually |

7.5. Documenting Stakeholder feedback

Feedback from stakeholders will be solicited at every stage of the project life cycle. For public meetings, workshops, focus groups, comments will be recorded through meeting minutes. Additionally, the Social Specialist at the PIU will be responsible for receiving and recording any queries, concerns or complaints about the project. Comments and decisions made on comments will be collated and reported back to stakeholders once the final decision on the course of action has been made. Records will also be maintained on the methods used to inform stakeholders on dates and/or locations where they can gather project information and provide feedback.

In addition, stakeholders will be allowed to file complaints against the project through the Grievance Redress Mechanism detailed in a later section of this plan. All records relating to this mechanism including, grievance forms, grievance log, notes, interviews, meeting minutes, release forms etc. will be also be stored.

8. Roles and responsibilities

The table that follows provides the details on the roles and responsibilities for the SEP.

Table 5: SEP Roles and Responsibilities

| Role | Responsibilities |
|-----------------|--|
| Project Manager | Update and adopt the SEP, including the annual budget required for |
| | implementation |
| | Manage and implement the SEP, including the GRM |
| | Prepare 6-month report on SEP implementation and submit to the Bank |
| Social & | Interface with stakeholders and respond to comments or questions about |
| Environment | the project or consultation process |
| Specialist | Socialize the SEP, including the GRM. |
| | Document interactions with stakeholders |
| | Maintain records and document stakeholder engagement activities as set |
| | forth in the SEP |
| | Coordinate public meetings, workshops, group discussions |
| | Socialize, manage and monitor the GRM |
| | Prepare inputs for the 6-month report on SEP implementation, including |
| | GRM |
| | On site visits and consultations. |
| | |

Contact details until Project implementation

Prior to project implementation, the following persons may be reached by stakeholders with any questions, concerns and recommendations, regarding the project.

Table 6: Contacts for information

| Name | Title | Telephone | Email address | Physical location |
|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Dr. Resa | Permanent Secretary | | tourism@gov.vc | NIS Building, |
| Noel-Mc | Ministry of Tourism, Civil | | | Kingstown |
| Barnett | Aviation, Sustainable | | | |
| | Development and Culture | | | |
| Janeel | Director, Sustainable | 784 485 | janeel.miller@gmail.com | 2 nd Floor, |
| Miller- | Development Unit | 6992 | | Administrative |
| Findlay | | | | Building, Kingstown |
| Yasa | Environment Resource | 784 485 | Yasa.belmar@gmail.com | 2 nd Floor, |
| Belmar | Analyst II | 6992 | | Administrative |
| | | | | Building, Kingstown |

9. Estimated budget for SEP

The implementation of the SEP will be funded as part of the overall project management cost. The table that follows presents an indicative budget for the implementation of the SEP (XCD \$50,000)

Table 9: Proposed Budget

| Activity | Quantity | Unit | Total |
|---|----------|--------|-----------|
| Public consultation | 10 | 1000 | 10,000.00 |
| Information production and dissemination: | 3000 | 5.00 | 15,000.00 |
| Advertisement | 50 | 500.00 | 25,000.00 |
| Transport (40 persons at each consultation at XCD 20) | 400 | 20 | 8,000.00 |
| Refreshment (40 persons at each consultation at XCD 20) | 400 | 20 | 8,000.00 |
| Miscellaneous | | | 5,000.00 |
| | | | 71,000.00 |

It must be noted that this budget will be updated throughout the project life cycle as needed.

10. Monitoring and Reporting

The SEP will be revised and updated as necessary during project implementation. The E&S Specialist will prepare the Quarterly Reports on stakeholder engagement activities, including the GRM which he/she will submit to the Project Manager who will in turn submit it officially to the Bank as part of the semi-annual reporting to the World Bank.

11. Grievance Mechanism

The Grievance Mechanism (GM) will enable Project Authorities to address any grievances against the Project. The GM covers grievances that relate to the impacts that the project may have on its stakeholders. Grievances that relate to project workers will be handled by a separate mechanism which is included as part of the project's Labour Management Procedures (LMP).

A *grievance* refers to an issue, concern, problem or claim, whether actual or perceived, that affects the physical, social and economic conditions of individuals and or communities in the project area of influence. They can occur at different stages of the project cycle. The project activity often determines the nature of the grievance.

Grievances can occur at various stages in the project cycle:

- Inception complaints about the perceived impact (social, economic, environmental)
- **Implementation** complaints about construction noise or dust, displacement or land acquisition, compensation etc.
- Close non-fulfillment of project activities

11.1. Objectives of the Grievance Mechanism

The objectives of the Grievance Redress Mechanism are:

- 1. Ensure that the Government of Saint Vincent and Grenadines' regulations and the World Bank Environmental and Social Standards are adhered to in all project activities
- 2. Address any negative environmental and social impacts of all project activities
- 3. Resolve all grievances emanating from the project activities in a timely manner
- 4. Establish relationships of trust between project staff and stakeholders

11.2 Responsibility for the GM

The Project Manager (PM) and the Environmental Specialist and Social Specialist assigned to the project will be designated as the key officers in charge of Grievance Management. They will be tasked with the following:

- Establish the Grievance Mechanism (GM) before the commencement of any project activities
- Act as the Focal Point on GM issues
- Socialize and create awareness of the GM amongst all the stakeholders
- Receive and examine grievances
- Assist in redressal of all grievances by coordinating with the concerned parties
- Maintain a database/information of grievances and redressal
- Monitor the project activities of contractors and consultants on redressal of grievances
- Regularly contact all points of receipt of complaints
- Prepare monthly/quarterly progress reports on grievances received

11.3 Establishment of a Grievance Redress Committee

For instances where grievances cannot be not resolved by the PM and E&S specialists, a Grievance Redress Committee will be formulated to address the issue. Members of this committee will be drawn from agencies whose competencies are relevant to the specific complaint. These may include the Physical Planning Unit, Lands and Surveys Department, the Public Health Department, Gender Affairs Division, the Labour Department, Marion House, the Community Development Unit, Fisheries Division and the National Parks, Rivers and Beaches Authority. See table 3 for full list of relevant stakeholders who may be drawn upon, as necessary.

11.4 Grievance process

1. Receive grievance

Complaints can be made in person, writing, verbally over the phone, emails or any in person at the Project Implementation Unit.

Sample Notification to the Public on how to submit grievance

All grievances relating to the development of this project are to be directed to:

Project Grievance Officer

SVG Coastal and Marine Ecosystems Management Project Ministry of Tourism, Civil Aviation, Sustainable Development and Culture

2nd Floor, Administrative Building, Kingstown

Telephone: 784 485 6992 Email – <u>emdsvg@gmail.com</u> cc. <u>mintourismsvg@gmail.com</u> This sample notification will be place at strategic points at pilot sites.

2. Acknowledge grievance

All grievances will be acknowledged by telephone or in writing by the Project Manager (PM) using the Grievance Acknowledgment Form (GAF) (Annex1) within 48 hours of receipt and the complainant informed of the approximate timeline for addressing the complaint, if it can't be addressed immediately. The PM will work with the safeguard team to ensure the speedy resolution of the grievance. If the complaint cannot be resolved at this level it is taken to the next level.

3. Register/Log

After receiving and recording the grievance on the GAF, it will be registered in the Grievance Registry (Annex 2).

4. Screen

The E&S specialist reviews the complaint and assign a grievance owner. The complaint will be forwarded to the grievance owner who will be responsible for investigating the claim and liaising with both the aggrieved party and project technical team in order to come to a mutually acceptable resolution. The aggrieved will be informed about the estimated timeline for resolving the claim. Meetings with grievant/complainant will be held, if necessary, in an attempt to resolve the matter. All meetings should be recorded.

5. Investigate

The grievance owner will investigate the complaint. This investigation will include, but is not limited to, meetings with the grievant/complainant, site visits, meetings/interviews with project staff and collection of relevant documentation and other forms of evidence. For meetings, the deliberations and decision will be recorded on the Meeting Record Form included as Annex 4. Community representatives or representatives of the complainant will be allowed to sit in at these meetings.

6. Classification of Grievance

| tion of Grieva | nce | |
|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Level 1 | When an answer can be provided immediately and/or the safeguards team is already working on a resolution | E&S specialist & Project Manager |
| Level 2 | One off event | E&S specialist & Project Manager |
| Level 3 | Complaint is repeated. | Grievance Redress Committee |
| | Any complaint that indicates breach of law or applicable policy/regulation | |
| | High-profile grievances that if not resolved promptly may represent significant risks to the environment or community. | |

7. Resolution

The resolution level 1 grievances should normally be completed within 15 working days of receipt of grievance and notified to the concerned party in writing. If the grievance is not being resolved within this period, it can be referred to the next level of the GRM. However, once it is determined that progress is being made towards a resolution, the grievance will be retained at this level 1. The complainant will be informed of this decision and an estimated time for the resolution of the matter will be given either verbally or in writing. If the issue cannot be resolved within 25 working days or the proposed resolution is not accepted, it will be transferred to the level 2. Once a resolution has been agreed and accepted, the complainant's acceptance will be obtained in writing via the Grievance Closure Form (Annex 5).

Table 7: GRM Timeframe

| Step | Process | Timeframe |
|------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Receive grievance & log | Within 1 day |
| 2 | Acknowledge grievance | Within 1 day |
| 3 | Screen | Within 1 day |
| 4. | Investigate | Within 10-15 days |
| 5 | Resolution | Within 25 days |
| 6 | GRC | 30 days |
| 7 | Close grievance | |

11.4. World Bank Grievance Redressal Service (GRS)

The Grievance Redress Service (GRS) is an avenue for individuals and communities to submit complaints directly to the World Bank if they believe that a World Bank-supported project has or is likely to have adverse effects on them, their community, or their environment. The World Bank GRS can be found at the following URL link: https://www.worldbank.org/en/projects-operations/products-and-services/grievance-redress-service.

Complaints must be completed in writing and addressed to the GRS. They can be submitted using the following methods:

1. Online, by completing the online form: https://pubdocs.worldbank.org/en/743201426857500569/Grievance-Redress-Service-GRS-complaint-form.docx

By email to grievances@worldbank.org

2. By letter or by hand delivery to the World Bank Headquarters in Washington D.C., United States or any World Bank Country Office- printing and using this form:

https://pubdocs.worldbank.org/en/743201426857500569/Grievance-Redress-Service-GRS-complaint-form.docx

11.4. Addressing SH/SEA complaints

The E&S specialist will be responsible for dealing with complaints in relation to Sexual Exploitation and Abuse and Sexual Harassment (SEA/SH). He/she will be trained to deal with these grievances. A list of SH/SEA service providers will be kept available by the project (Annex 4). The GM should assist SH/SEA survivors by referring them to SH/SEA Services Provider(s) for support immediately after receiving a complaint directly from a survivor.

The GM can receive SEA/SH grievances, keeping the survivor information confidential. Specifically, the GRM

will only record the following information related to the GBV complaint:

- The nature of the complaint (what the complainant says in her/his own words without direct questioning)
- If, to the best of their knowledge, the perpetrator was associated with the project
- If possible, the age and sex of the survivor.

Any cases of SEA/SH brought through the GRM will be documented but remain closed/sealed to maintain the confidentiality of the survivor. Here, the GRM will primarily serve to:

- Refer complainants to the GBV Services Provider; and
- Record the resolution of the complaint

The GRM will also immediately notify both the Implementing Agency and the World Bank of any GBV complaints **WITH THE CONSENT OF THE SURVIVOR**.

11.5. Building GRM Awareness

The Project Manager and/or Social Specialist will initially brief all project staff, including consultants about the GRM and explain to them the procedures and formats to be used including the reporting procedures.

The Project Manager or Environmental Specialist and Social Specialist will brief project stakeholders on the GM of the project and explain the procedures and formats to be used, including reporting procedures. Awareness campaigns will be conducted targeting project stakeholders to inform them on the availability of the mechanism; various mediums will be used- as detailed in previous sections of the SEP. The GM will also be published on the Government websites. Contact information for the GM will be posted/disseminated within beneficiary communities.

11.6. Monitoring and Reporting

The E&S Specialists will prepare the 6-month Reports on the GM, including any grievances addressed by the Grievance Redress Committee. The GRC may review the nature of grievances that have been represented and if grievances are repeated, recommend suitable changes. The 6-month report will be submitted to the Bank as part of the Project reporting.

Annex 1 - Grievance Acknowledgment Form

Grievance No.:

REGISTRATION OF GRIEVANCE

Section A: Personal Information*

The information in this section is optional. Anonymous Complaints are also accepted.

| Please use capitals: | |
|---|--|
| Name: | Contact No: |
| Address:(Detail where to find you) | E-mail Address: |
| (Detail where to find you) | |
| Gender: | NIS Number: |
| Age Group:(5-19) (20-39) (40-59) (60 +) | National ID No: |
| (5-19) (20-39) (40-59) (60 +) | |
| Name of Project Site: | |
| Section B: Details of Grievance | |
| As per the SEP of the Coastal and Marine, Ecosy Redressal, I register my grievance as detailed: | stems Management Strengthening project Grievance |
| (a) Outline reasons why and how you are affected | d by the project. (overleaf if necessary) |
| | |
| | |
| (b) If land or other properties are being affected you have, to support your claim. | e.g. (agriculture) include copies of relevant documentation |
| List documents: | |
| A: | B: |
| C: | D: |
| the best of my knowledge. If at any time any part | evance and documentation enclosed are true and complete to t of the Grievance or the documentation is found to be false, |
| I will be liable for any legal action that the Govern | ment of St. Vincent and the Grenadines may deem necessary. |
| Date: | Signature of aggrieved person: |
| Name of recording Officer: | Signature: |
| (Please print) (continue overleaf if necessary) | |
| , | |

Annex 2. - Grievance Register

Notes:

- 1 Complainants should be provided with acknowledgement of grievance within 7 days from the date of receipt (the social specialist should insert the number of days that the project has allocated for acknowledgement of grievance, which should vary from 2-7 days. For simple issues, complaints /queries should be responded to within this allocated number of days).
- 2 Expected time of redress should be entered in this register.
- 3 Records of Grievances should always be entered in the GR Register and updated as needed, until the grievance is settled.
- 4 Grievances should normally be settled within 4 weeks of initial receipt. If not, reasons for delay should be communicated to the complainant and entered in the Register. (The social specialist should insert the number of weeks allocated for settling grievances under the project, which should vary from 2 weeks to one month. The same approach as detailed above in Note 1 should be followed).

Grievance Details

| | | | Name | Date of | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------|-----------|--------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|------------------|--------|----------|-----------|
| | | | & Contact | Acknowledgement | | | | | | | |
| | | | Information | of Receipt of | | | | | | | |
| | | | of Person | Complaint (Issue | | | | | | | |
| | | | Making | involved) | | | | | | | |
| | | | Complaint | (informing | | | | | | | |
| | | | (optional if | complainant of | | | | | | | |
| | Name of | | the | response time) / | Actions | | | | | | |
| | sub | Date | complainant | Response to | Taken to | How | | If Not Resolved, | Status | | Level of |
| Claim | project | Complaint | wishes to be | Complaint for | Resolve | Complaint | | Date Sent for | of | Date | |
| Number | Site | Received | anonymous) | Simple Issues | Complaint | Resolved | Date Complaint Resolved | Appeal | Appeal | Resolved | Grievance |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |

Annex 3 - Stakeholder Consultation - 22 July, 2021

Report on the Community Stakeholder Consultation for the GEF 7: SVG Coastal and Marine Ecosystems Strengthening Project

Thursday 22nd July, 2021 5:30pm-7:30pm

Venue: Zoom Virtual Meeting Platform

1. Introduction

Due to Covid-19 restrictions, the meeting was held virtually. However, attendance was satisfactory, with 39 people in attendance, representing all 4 pilot sites as well as a range of national civil society and public sector organizations. The list of participants is presented in Appendix 1.

The consultation began with welcome remarks from the Permanent Secretary in the Ministry of Tourism, Civil Aviation, Sustainable Development and Culture, followed by three brief presentations on: the objectives of the project; the site selection process and proposed interventions; and the environmental and social safeguards framework.

Participants were allowed time to give general feedback on these presentations before separating into site-specific break-out groups to give specific feedback on the proposed interventions for each site and suggestions for improvement. Specifically, each group was asked to reflect on the following four questions before reporting back during the final plenary session:

- 1. What do you see as the main threats to coastal and marine ecosystems in your area?
- 2. How can the project best address these threats?
- 3. What are the potential economic benefits of the project (focusing on creation of new jobs and improved quality of life)?
- 4. Who are the key stakeholders/ who else should be involved in the implementation of this project?

2. General Feedback/ Questions

| Participant's Question/Comment | Facilitators' Response |
|---|---|
| A representative from the Southern | The project does not directly address the |
| Grenadines noted that sargassum | issue of sargassum since it is almost |
| seaweed influxes are having a significant | impossible to stop it from coming onto |
| impact on the sea moss industry and | shore, but it may be necessary to look |
| asked whether this was taken into | into uses for this seaweed in order to |
| consideration in the project design. | incentivize clean-up and to generate |
| The representative from SusGren noted | economic benefits. |
| that her organization worked with the | May not be possible under this project, |
| TNC to undertake spatial mapping of | but something to keep in mind for future |
| areas suitable for growing sea moss. She | projects. |

indicated that she asked the scientists to consider the impacts of sargassum in this mapping exercise in order to reduce its effect on the industry in the future. She also shared a report produced by the University of the West Indies on the Barbados Sargassum Adaptive Management Strategy, which may be useful for SVG⁴.

- The representative of the SVG
 Conservation Fund indicated that the organization would be interested in funding proposals from CSOs related to the issue of sargassum management. He shared that Antigua recently shipped sargassum to Findland for the production of biochemicals, highlighting that there are economic opportunities to be explored.
- The representative of the National Fisherfolk Organization stated that the organization is currently wrapping up an extensive public education campaign about the marine sources of pollution from fisheries. Since, this is something that they would like to see continue, he asked whether this is something the project would be able to support.
- Facilitators explained that such communication/public awareness activities could possibly be supported under component 3 of the project.

3. Site-specific Discussions/ Feedback

Group 1- Southern Grenadines

What do you see as the main threats to coastal and marine ecosystems in your area?
 Conflicts also exist among tourism stakeholders, seabathers, beach users (e.g. picnics) and sea moss growers. When the cruise lines return post-covid19, this may be a major challenge. Zoning is critical for addressing this.
 Not certain if sea moss farming has any impact on yachting industry. Needs to be ascertained because farms are being

4

done horizontally, taking up more space and exaggerating the space-use conflicts. Richmond beach: Sargassum has overwhelmed the coastline. This is a main location for teaching people to swim, for picnics etc. The bottles used for seamoss farming is unsightly. Conflicts with efforts by tourism board to turn it into a recreational site. Zoning critical! Praedial larceny Pollution/Contamination of marine waters: This is affecting the quality of sea moss produced. Poor Quality control re. LBS Pollution and Processing Facilities How can the project best address these threats? Enforcement will be critical. Institute a Fishermen/farmers ID system where there is a defined process for application for licenses. Needs to be regulated. There is a key role for Fisheries/Ministry of Agri) Tobago Cays Marine Park rangers? (E.g. Giving them authority to enforce licenses in Mayreau) License fees could be used to support enforcement Formation of an association and particular requirements/standards for licensing –Training is part of criteria for obtaining licenses Resource Governance issues: Moratorium on licenses at some point? Criteria for selection of licensees to be decided Standards/Regulations: If regulations are not followed, can't operate/licenses revoked Look at what works well in other sectors and see what can be applied Bureau of Standards / Public Health need to be involved-Testing and Monitoring

| | of water quality and standards at facilities |
|--|--|
| What are the potential economic benefits of the project (focusing on creation of new jobs and improved quality of life)? | Risk: Will people leave the industry once the tourism industry reopens post-covid19? Other types of jobs in the sector: processing of by-products rather than just raw materials Capsules Shampoos, soaps, skin-care Higher value products/ added-value Marketing/ branding |
| Who are the key stakeholders/ who else should be involved in the implementation of this project? | SusGren Fisheries TCMP Tourism Bureau of Standards (Quality assurance? Water and air) Seamoss Growers Public Health National Parks, Rivers and Beaches Authority Consumer Affairs? Cooperatives/ Small Businesses-Chamber of Industry and Commerce? |

Group 2- South Eastern St. Vincent⁵

| What do you see as the main threats to coastal and marine ecosystems in your area? | Stubbs Bay erosion for the construction of the AIA No consultation with community River mouth blockage Recreational area is gone/lost Lost Diamond Brighton bay – sand minding |
|--|--|
| How can the project best address these threats? | Fuel boat mooring for the airport (Oil spill risk) Sand re-establishing in the form of putting back |

⁵ A follow-up consultation is required with South East Development Inc. because of technical difficulties experienced by the facilitator during breakout group session.

| | Restoration of Stubbs Bay and creation of recreational facilities |
|--|---|
| What are the potential economic benefits of the project (focusing on creation of new jobs and improved quality of life)? | Turtles will return for nesting Point look-out can be developed Installation of bathroom facility |
| Who are the key stakeholders/ who else should be involved in the implementation of this project? | South East Development Inc. is very willing to work with us on project implementation. |

Group 4- Colonaire

| What do you see as the main threats to coastal and marine ecosystems in your area? | High amounts of plastics/garbage being dumped upstream that ends up on the coast and garbage that is collected from the drains Debris (tree) from the upper watershed washing down during heavy rainfall Garbage washing up on shore from outside of SVG Coastal erosion |
|--|--|
| How can the project best address these threats? | Public education and awareness Community involvement in project implementation Better enforcement of the sand mining ban including more signage on the main road Give workers from National Parks more visibility and monitoring power Strengthen institutional arrangements of community groups |
| What are the potential economic benefits of the project (focusing on creation of new jobs and improved quality of life)? | Persons to be trained in turtle handling (gaining a certificate which is recognized throughout the region). They will be paid a stipend for monitoring duties. Camping under the stars as a potential eco-tourism activity Revenue earned by recycling plastics found on the beach |

Who are the key stakeholders/ who else should be involved in the implementation of this project?

- The area representative as the champion of the "Colonaire Turtle Sanctuary"
- National Parks, Rivers and Beaches Authority
- Colonaire Conservation Group
- Fisheries
- Forestry
- SVG Conservation Fund
- SVG Environment Fund
- Business owners in the area
- Schools in the area
- Residents
- Church groups
- Police

Group 3 – North Leeward*

*This group had a general discussion rather than answering the pre-set questions.

- RVA had done white mangrove reforestation in the site before but efforts were not successfully as they died. Animals ate some plants, but Forestry Department previously indicated that the area was not suitable for mangrove establishment.
- Troumaca Bay jetty should be reestablished so that yachties can also use the area. This will
 give rise to opportunities for tour guides that are already in the Rose Hall group to offer this
 service. There is a trail that runs from Troumaca to rose hall that can be linked back to
 Chateaubelair. This Trail is not only physical but there are historic and cultural heritage to be
 shared.
- The Troumaca Bay area can also be developed further. Currently there are guest houses (2), that are on the site, if the site is open to yachts then more can be added. The site needs to be upgraded with trees, entertainment area etc but has potential for livelihood and sustainable tourism development.
- The North leeward tourism association was responsible for the bar and restaurant in the Richmond area. They have paraphernalia that they bought and wish to revamp the site. The project could assist with this.
- 'Community shared' activities is preferred and encouraged, as each community in the North
- Leeward area has different things that pertain to them and can offer, so the benefits/opportunities must by shared.
- A need for data was highlighted
- FADS are welcomed by the NFO as this should have been done many years ago. This will support the 'fish fry' festival nights.
- Participants were somewhat opposed to the processing plant/fisheries complex, as they
 would not like to see fish from the area exported when there is a deficiency of fresh fish on

the local market. Access to local fish is preferred since many imported products aren't naturally grown or may be chemically contaminated etc. They explained that the former attempt at the fisheries complex died because of the political involvement in it and there didn't see to be equity.

- Stone picking (i.e. gravel/pumice harvesting) should be maintained at Richmond, but the
 activity should be zoned and confined to a particular area, so as not to interfered with
 tourism-related livelihoods.
- Educational programs need to be done extensively
- Community Tourism Management Plan will be needed to ensure that all communities are included and there is a management mechanism in place to avoid conflict and maximize benefits, minimizing duplication.
- Zoning plan for activities is required. Categories can include:
 - o terrestrial, cultural, marine, environmental and agro-tourism
- Tour bus packages can be done in a way that when the tourist takes a tour, there are stops along the way so that they get a bit from every community for a full experience

4. Conclusion

Stakeholders were generally pleased with the consultation and asked to be kept informed during project development. Whilst CBOs on mainland St. Vincent are generally weaker than those in the Grenadines, there was strong community representation and buy-in from the various pilot site communities. North Leeward has an established community organization, but there might be a need to work with several organisations in the area through a project steering committee for the pilot site, given the diverse set of interests represented.

South East Development Inc. seems to an active CBO that has a keen interest in the South East St. Vincent pilot and could be a possible partner for implementation. However, capacity building and implementation support may be necessary since it is an entirely voluntary organization with no full-time staff. Institutional assessment should ascertain needs and risks. The Brighton Salt Pond group led by My Elroy "Huffles" Arthum has been actively involved in beach clean-ups at the site and should also be included in activities. Given the technical issues faced by this group during the break-out session, a follow-up consultation should be planned.

The Colonaire Conservation group is a newly formed organization that is not yet registered. However, group members had some very good ideas about what they would like to see happen and should be given the necessary capacity building and institutional support to implement the project.

Appendix 1- Participants List

| | Pilot Site/ Community | Organisation Represented | |
|----|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| 1 | | North Leeward Tourism Association | |
| 2 | | Fisheries Division Data Collector for North Leeward | |
| 3 | North Leeward | South East Development Inc. | |
| 4 | | Social/ Community Activist | |
| 5 | | Rosehall Cultural and Development Organisation | |
| 5 | | Richmond Vales Academy | |
| 7 | | NLTA | |
| | | | |
| 8 | | Colonarie Conservation Group | |
| 9 | Colonaire | Colonarie Conservation Group | |
| 10 | | Colonarie Conservation Group | |
| | | | |
| 11 | | Colonarie Conservation Group | |
| 12 | | Colonarie Conservation Group | |
| | | | |
| 13 | | South East Development Inc. | |
| 14 | St. Vincent South-East | South East Development Inc. | |
| 15 | | South East Development Inc. | |
| 16 | | South East Development Inc. | |
| 17 | | Brighton Salt Pond Group | |
| 18 | | South East Development Inc. | |
| | | | |
| 19 | | Tobago Cays Marine Park | |
| 20 | Southern Grenadines | Union Island Tourist Board | |
| 21 | | Radio Grenadines/ Union Island Tourist Board / NPRBA | |
| 22 | | (No mic, did not introduce themselves) | |
| 23 | | Sustainable Grenadines Inc. | |
| | National | | |
| 24 | Sustainable Development Unit | | |
| 28 | Environmental Consultant | | |
| 29 | Environmental Consultant | | |
| 31 | World Bank, Social Development Specialist | | |
| 33 | SVG Environment Fund | | |
| 34 | Ministry of Tourism, etc. | | |
| 36 | National Fisherfolk Organisation | | |
| 37 | Physical Planning Division | | |
| 38 | National Parks, Rivers and Beaches Authority | | |
| 39 | SVG Conservation Fund | | |

Annex 4 – Preliminary list of GBV/SEA/SH services

| Name | GBV/SEA/SH Service | Contact | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Gender Affairs Division | Receives reports and provides direct social and psychological services to victims of IPV and adult victims of sexual abuse. Report can be made via telephone, email, mail or a visit to the Bureau's office Conducts capacity building and training of other GBV service providers. Implements public education programmes on GBV. | http://mobilization.gov.vc/mobilization/index.php/gender-affairs The Ministry of National Mobilisation, Social Development, Family, Gender Affairs, Youth, Housing and Informal Human Settlement Halifax Street Kingstown Saint Vincent Contact Tel: (784) 453-2061 email: office.socialdevelopment@mail.gov.vc | | |
| The Crisis Centre | Counselling, Shelter services Small grants for reintegration and relocation if needed 24 Hour Hotline | Contact through the Gender Affairs Division Tel; 784-453-2061. | | |
| Royal Saint Vincent Police Force | Emergency response, investigations, arrests, and providing evidence for prosecution. Also has a Anti-Trafficking Unit and a Sexual Offences Unit. | Criminal Investigation Division (CID) 1-784-456-1810 | | |
| Civil Society Organisations | | | | |
| Marion House | Skills training for survivors Counselling services | Marion House Richmond Hill Tel: 784-456-2161 Fax: 784-456-1318 | | |
| National Council for Women SVG | Advocacy to encourage legislative changes to improve protection for women and ensure that DV cases are prosecuted. It also provides training on domestic violence prevention and response | https://www.facebook.com/pages/category/Non- Governmental-OrganizationNGO-/National-Council- of-Women-555847524550908/ Ms. Muriel Byam National Council of Women P.O. Box 1157 Kingstown St Vincent Tel: 456 4743 E-mail: muriel@vincysurf.com. | | |

Annex 5- Grievance Closure Form

Grievance No.:

Grievance Closure Form

| Section A: Details of Grievance | , |
|---|---|
| | |
| | |
| Section B: Details of Actions T | aken to Resolve Grievance |
| | |
| | |
| Section C: Complaint satisfact | on* |
| *For completion by aggrieved | person |
| Are you satisfied with the actio comment below: | ns taken to resolve your grievance? Yes/ No Please |
| | |
| I agree that the Grievance can be | be now closed. Yes/No |
| part of the Grievance or the do | above are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. If at any time any cumentation is found to be false, I will be liable for any legal action that the the Grenadines may deem necessary. |
| Date: | _ Signature of aggrieved person: |
| Grievance Redressal Officer: (Please print) | Signature: |
| | |